

Details of Representations

Application Summary

Application ID	26014332
Proposal	Variation to Development Application 23005439 - Amendment to Earthworks and Levels
Location	10 PANORAMA CT PARINGA SA 5340

Representations

Representor 1 - Mikalya Luxton

Name	Mikalya Luxton
Address	Po box 19 PARINGA SA, 5340 Australia
Submission Date	15/07/2026 04:32 PM
Submission Source	Online
Late Submission	No
Would you like to talk to your representation at the decision-making hearing for this development?	No
My position is	I support the development with some concerns

Reasons Concerns over erosion amd the excess dirt blown into our dwelling due to landworks. Also concerns over Indigenous bones being found on the property and the impact on this for the Indigenous people.

Attached Documents

Representations

Representor 2 - David Ridgway

Name	David Ridgway
Address	4363 Emu Flat Rd SENIOR SA, 5268 Australia
Submission Date	15/07/2026 06:53 PM
Submission Source	Online
Late Submission	No
Would you like to talk to your representation at the decision-making hearing for this development?	Yes
My position is	I support the development with some concerns

Reasons

The specific reasons I believe that consent should be granted/refused are: We are writing formally to voice our concerns regarding ongoing, unapproved land degradation occurring along the southern boundary of our property at 29 Murtho Road, Paringa. Having reviewed the modified plans submitted for this site, we find them completely inadequate and fear they will not permanently resolve the damage to our land. We do not accept there should be a road on our border. Unauthorised earthworks and heavy machinery have damaged the natural River Murray cliff, redirected stormwater drainage, and placed the structural stability of our property at immediate risk. Historically, the boundary land was level with our property. However, a deep track cut along the boundary has created a sudden, dangerous drop. This has caused severe destabilisation and erosion, and it poses a serious safety hazard to our grandchildren. The initial landscaping modifications—which involved cutting into a natural hill, lowering ground levels, and altering stormwater drainage—have directly destabilized and eroded our boundary and this last year effected our stormwater. Despite previous assurances from council that the landscape would be restored and vehicle access restricted, unauthorised vehicles continue to use and grade the track along our property, further destabilising the area. This activity, combined with ongoing runoff, continues to cause erosion, and our land is now falling into the neighbouring property. A dangerous drop now exists where none was present before the unauthorised earthworks. Furthermore, previous attempts to compact and repair the soil near our home have failed, and constant erosion continues. The integrity of our land is at serious risk. We read the modified plans but still find little comfort that our land will be repaired and stabilize. We require a plan to reinstate soil removed, stabilise and repair the boundary, get rid of track and prevent further soil loss of our property.

Attached Documents

EROSION-2-Taken-in-20241-1629881.jpg
templimagewtLPle-1629882.jpg
Erosion-25-deeper_1-1629883.jpg
Erosion-25_4-1629884.jpg
Erosion-25_6-1629885.jpg











Representations

Representor 3 - Les Harris

Name	Les Harris
Address	9 Denny Street BERRI SA, 5343 Australia
Submission Date	16/07/2026 03:38 PM
Submission Source	Online
Late Submission	No
Would you like to talk to your representation at the decision-making hearing for this development?	No
My position is	I oppose the development

Reasons

Cultural Heritage Impacts 2 x Human Remains damaged scatted over the site due to earth works Midden Shells Scattered, Scattered artefacts On both the Freehold land CT5899/379 and the Native title Parcel CR5750/713 & CR5764/343, which surround the Freehold parcel. RMMAC RNTBC has been excluded from proceedings and has not been notified or consulted regarding the amendments. What are the amendments, what are the Earthworks and Levels that have been changed. Design changes, images, location of Changes. A confidential document was produced to prevent further damage to the CH. On-site report was submitted to AAR. Kind regards Les Harris Chief Executive Officer River Murray & Mallee Aboriginal Corporation 9 Denny St, Berri SA 5343 Ph: (08) 7522 0910 Mob: 0419845707

Attached Documents

Representations

Representor 4 - Kerry and Garry Twyford

Name	Kerry and Garry Twyford
Address	PO Box 233 PARINGA SA, 5340 Australia
Submission Date	17/07/2026 10:29 AM
Submission Source	Online
Late Submission	No
Would you like to talk to your representation at the decision-making hearing for this development?	Yes
My position is	I oppose the development

Reasons

We are the owners and residents of the adjoining property at 9 Panorama Court, Paringa and do not support the proposed variation for the development at Lot 10 Panorama Court. While we acknowledge that previous planning approvals have been granted, we respectfully submit that this variation should be assessed having regard to the site's current condition and the significant changes that have occurred since earlier approvals were considered. Our concerns relate to the current physical condition of the site, including land stability, erosion, sediment movement, drainage, engineering design, the visual impact of the proposed development on adjoining properties and the River Murray cliff landscape, and the need to ensure that current Aboriginal heritage management requirements have been fully considered. We respectfully submit that the Commission should carefully consider whether the amended proposal accurately reflects the site's present condition and whether the supporting engineering information remains appropriate in light of the significant physical changes that have occurred. We are also concerned that the proposal should not be assessed solely by comparison with earlier approvals, but rather against the site's current circumstances and the evidence now available. Our full representation, together with supporting photographs and documentation, is attached for the Commission's consideration.

Attached Documents

Submission-Opposing-the-Proposed-Variation-2026-1630409.pdf

Submission Opposing the Proposed Variation

Development Application ID: 26014332 - Lot 10 Panorama Court, Paringa, SA

Prepared by:

Kerry & Garry Twyford - Owners of 9 Panorama Court, Paringa

1. Introduction

We appreciate the opportunity to provide this representation and recognise the importance of the State Planning Commission's role in ensuring that planning decisions are made on the basis of accurate information, sound technical evidence and the public interest.

We are the owners and residents of the adjoining property at 9 Panorama Court, Paringa. Our property directly overlooks the subject land and is one of the residential properties most directly affected by the proposed variation.

We acknowledge that previous planning approvals have been granted for development on this site. However, this submission relates specifically to the **current variation application** and the significant changes that have occurred since earlier approvals were considered.

Our concerns are not based on opposition to development itself. Rather, we respectfully submit that the proposed variation should be assessed having regard to the site's current condition, the physical changes that have occurred since previous approvals, and the available evidence regarding land stability, erosion, Aboriginal heritage and engineering considerations.

We respectfully request that the State Planning Commission carefully consider the matters raised in this submission before determining the proposed variation.

These changes raise legitimate questions as to whether the amended proposal adequately addresses:

- long-term land stability;
- erosion and sediment control;
- drainage;
- visual impact;
- protection of adjoining land;
- consistency with current site conditions; and
- the ongoing heritage constraints applying to the land.

2. The Proposal Should Be Assessed Having Regard to the Current Condition of the Site

The proposed variation should not be assessed solely by comparing the amended plans with the previously approved development. Since earlier approvals were granted, the site has changed substantially.

The land has undergone extensive excavation, subsequent regulatory action has occurred, significant erosion is now evident across exposed batters, and Aboriginal ancestral remains, middens and artefacts have been identified within the development area. We understand that these discoveries have resulted in Aboriginal heritage management requirements applying to further earthworks.

These matters were either not present, or were not in their current form, when earlier planning decisions were made.

We are also concerned that some elements shown on the plans no longer accurately reflect the site as it currently exists. For example, drainage channels previously constructed adjacent to our northern boundary have since been filled following the discovery of Aboriginal ancestral remains and cultural material. However, these drainage features continue to appear on the plans accompanying the variation application. This raises questions as to whether the supporting plans and engineering drawings accurately represent the site's current condition and whether the proposal has been assessed using the most up-to-date information available.

Accordingly, we respectfully submit that the Commission should assess this variation against the site's **current physical condition**, rather than relying solely upon previous assumptions or engineering assessments prepared before these significant changes occurred.

The Commission can only make a sound planning decision if it is assessing an accurate representation of the site as it exists today. Where site conditions have changed materially since earlier approvals, we respectfully submit that the supporting plans, engineering documentation and environmental assessments should accurately reflect those changes before the proposed variation is determined.

***Supporting evidence:** Refer to Figures 2, 3 and 4 (current site photograph – Note: filled drainage area adjacent to the northeren boundary), Figure 5 (Significant erosion)*

3. Land Stability, Erosion and Sediment Control

Our primary concern is the current physical condition of the site.

Photographs included with this submission demonstrate extensive erosion across exposed batters, visible/deep washouts, movement of sediment downslope and areas where sediment has accumulated at the base of the excavation.

These observations raise legitimate questions regarding:

- long-term slope stability;
- the effectiveness of the proposed batter design;
- erosion management;
- sediment control;
- drainage; and
- the ongoing protection of adjoining land and infrastructure.

Plan SA documentation provided to us identified engineering measures to be incorporated into the earthworks, including a 600 mm berm (ledge) and compaction works associated with the batter design. Based on our observations of the site, we have been unable to identify these measures as described. We respectfully request that the Commission satisfy itself that the approved engineering measures have been properly implemented, or, where they have not, that the amended proposal appropriately addresses those matters before the variation is determined.

In particular, we remain concerned about the absence of visible compaction works immediately below our property and whether the current condition of the batters reflects the engineering design originally presented.

We do not seek to draw engineering conclusions ourselves. However, the visible erosion and the current condition of the excavated slopes indicate that the Commission should be satisfied that the amended proposal remains appropriate in light of the site's present condition.

Given the substantial changes to the landform, we respectfully request that the Commission consider obtaining an independent geotechnical and engineering assessment of the site before determining the proposed variation. Such an assessment should confirm that:

- the proposed batters are stable;
- the slope design remains appropriate for the current site conditions;
- the retaining wall design and drainage measures are adequate;
- erosion and sediment control measures are suitable for the long term; and
- adjoining properties will not be adversely affected.

We respectfully submit that independent verification would provide confidence to the Commission, adjoining landowners and the wider community that the proposal has been assessed against the site as it exists today.

4. Engineering, Landscape & Heritage Considerations

The River Murray cliffs form a unique landscape that contributes significantly to the character of this locality and the amenity enjoyed by neighbouring residents.

We note that the current variation proposes a reduction in the finished house pad level from the previously approved level of approximately 34 AHD to approximately 32–33 AHD. While we acknowledge this represents a small reduction in finished ground level, the amended proposal also includes a building height of up to 8 m in height. We respectfully request that the Commission carefully consider the combined effect of the revised finished levels and increased building height on adjoining properties and the character of the River Murray cliff landscape. We further encourage the Commission to consider whether a lower finished house pad level could be achieved, as this may assist in reducing the overall visual impact of the development while maintaining an appropriate building outcome.

We also respectfully request that the Commission consider the current Aboriginal heritage management requirements applying to the site and satisfy itself that these have been fully considered in assessing the proposed variation.

Throughout this process we have sought to understand the proposal by reviewing planning documents, engineering drawings, EPA documentation and the current condition of the site. This submission is supported by that material and by photographs documenting the site's condition over several years.

We understand that further Aboriginal heritage investigations have been undertaken in relation to this site following the discovery of Aboriginal ancestral remains, middens and artefacts. Given the acknowledged Aboriginal heritage significance of the site, we respectfully submit that any relevant heritage assessments, reports or compliance requirements should form part of the information considered by the Commission when assessing this proposed variation.

5. Request to the Commission

We respectfully request that the State Planning Commission:

1. Assess the proposed variation having regard to the site's current physical condition.
2. Carefully consider the evidence of erosion, sediment movement and the present condition of the excavated batters.

3. Obtain, or require the applicant to provide, an independent geotechnical and engineering assessment confirming that the proposed batters, retaining walls, drainage and slope stability are appropriate for the site's current condition.
4. Ensure that Aboriginal heritage management requirements have been fully considered as part of the assessment process.
5. Give careful consideration to the impacts of the proposed variation upon adjoining landowners, the River Murray cliff landscape and the long-term stability of the site before determining the application.
6. Confirm that the plans and supporting engineering drawings accurately reflect the current condition of the site, including any changes resulting from heritage-related restrictions or other works undertaken since the earlier approvals.

We appreciate the opportunity to make this representation and respectfully request that the matters raised in this submission be given careful consideration before any decision is made.

Conclusion

We appreciate the opportunity to make this representation and respectfully request that the matters raised in this submission be given careful consideration before any decision is made on the proposed variation.

Our request is not that development be refused simply because it is proposed. Rather, we respectfully ask that the proposed variation be assessed using accurate and current information, having regard to the site's present condition, the evidence provided with this submission, and any independent technical assessment the Commission considers appropriate.

We believe this approach will assist the Commission in making a fully informed decision that appropriately considers the interests of adjoining landowners, the River Murray cliff landscape, Aboriginal heritage considerations and the long-term stability of the site.

We thank the Commission for considering our submission and for taking the time to assess the proposal having regard to the evidence presented and the current condition of the site.

Figure 1 – Existing Site Prior to Earthworks (Pre-2022)

Figure 1. *View of the subject land prior to the commencement of earthworks, showing the original River Murray cliff landform and natural slope before excavation.*



Figure 2 – Unauthorised Earthworks (2022)

Figure 2. *View of the site during the unauthorised earthworks undertaken in 2022, prior to the subsequent planning approvals and regulatory actions.*



Figure 3 – Approved Stage 1 Engineering Layout

Figure 3. *Extract from the approved Stage 1 engineering plans showing the proposed drainage arrangement adjacent to the northern boundary, including the drainage channels incorporated into the approved design.*



Figure 4 – Current Site Condition (July 2026)

Figure 4. *Current photograph showing erosion of the exposed batters and the present condition of the site following excavation.*



Figure 5 & 6 – Current Site Condition (July 2026)

Figure 5. Erosion in front of 9 Panorama Court - Figure 6. Shows the magnitude and depth of erosion



Representations

Representor 5 - Vicki Perry

Name	Vicki Perry
Address	PO Box 2454 PARINGA SA, 5340 Australia
Submission Date	17/07/2026 11:49 AM
Submission Source	Online
Late Submission	No
Would you like to talk to your representation at the decision-making hearing for this development?	Yes
My position is	I oppose the development

Reasons

Any application to build on the land specified should be rejected. My mother used to own the property behind (9 Panorama Court). There used to be a 15 meter flatish area in front of her and the previous owner of the land in question graded it down a fraction and pushed the excess over the cliff to make a bigger pad. She complained to council and was told that you can't do that, but nothing was done. She contacted EPA as advised and they said as long as the sand didn't go into the river, it was ok. The current owner has completely excavated the cliff to a big pad nearly down to the bottom which is a huge eyesore from the river and the houses surrounding. There are huge channels from rain run off in the pad where water has run down, surely destabilising everything and clogging the river below. The sand has to be going into the river. My mum was always told there were aboriginal bones below that had been put in the cliff, but the cliff is no more. Bones have been found and exposed, some were visible in the rain channels that have been formed. The drains required by SA Planning have been filled in and all work halted. How can a build go ahead without the correct drainage and no one knows exactly where the bones and middens are. Even though there is no heritage overlay, surely as the River Murray was the source of water to our first peoples RMMAC should have been consulted. How can anybody knock down a cliff close to a water source and then do what they want without major ramifications. Money talks. Some people just do what they want and pay the fine, if raised, as they have gotten the result they want. How can any plans be approved without consultation with other government bodies but then again this is government and no department talks to another about things that matter. I worked for DEW and there was a landscape board officer, a crown lands officer and two DEW compliance officers having an informal chat about this land in front of my desk, one officer formally from the Renmark Paringa council said "I remember that, we just wiped our hands of it. The other officer realised I could hear the conversation and knew I knew what they were talking about. They then moved downstairs. Who will monitor all the work. If it's council. They don't care. All work should be stopped and the land remediated and no plans approved. Before this damage was done, the surrounding properties had nice views and stable boundaries that wouldn't be undermined with heavy rain but now they don't.

Attached Documents

Representations

Representor 6 - Vicki Perry

Name	Vicki Perry
Address	PO Box 2454 PARINGA SA, 5340 Australia
Submission Date	17/07/2026 11:50 AM
Submission Source	Online
Late Submission	No
Would you like to talk to your representation at the decision-making hearing for this development?	Yes
My position is	I oppose the development

Reasons

Any application to build on the land specified should be rejected. My mother used to own the property behind (9 Panorama Court). There used to be a 15 meter flatish area in front of her and the previous owner of the land in question graded it down a fraction and pushed the excess over the cliff to make a bigger pad. She complained to council and was told that you can't do that, but nothing was done. She contacted EPA as advised and they said as long as the sand didn't go into the river, it was ok. The current owner has completely excavated the cliff to a big pad nearly down to the bottom which is a huge eyesore from the river and the houses surrounding. There are huge channels from rain run off in the pad where water has run down, surely destabilising everything and clogging the river below. The sand has to be going into the river. My mum was always told there were aboriginal bones below that had been put in the cliff, but the cliff is no more. Bones have been found and exposed, some were visible in the rain channels that have been formed. The drains required by SA Planning have been filled in and all work halted. How can a build go ahead without the correct drainage and no one knows exactly where the bones and middens are. Even though there is no heritage overlay, surely as the River Murray was the source of water to our first peoples RMMAC should have been consulted. How can anybody knock down a cliff close to a water source and then do what they want without major ramifications. Money talks. Some people just do what they want and pay the fine, if raised, as they have gotten the result they want. How can any plans be approved without consultation with other government bodies but then again this is government and no department talks to another about things that matter. I worked for DEW and there was a landscape board officer, a crown lands officer and two DEW compliance officers having an informal chat about this land in front of my desk, one officer formally from the Renmark Paringa council said "I remember that, we just wiped our hands of it. The other officer realised I could hear the conversation and knew I knew what they were talking about. They then moved downstairs. Who will monitor all the work. If it's council. They don't care. All work should be stopped and the land remediated and no plans approved. Before this damage was done, the surrounding properties had nice views and stable boundaries that wouldn't be undermined with heavy rain but now they don't.

Attached Documents

Representations

Representor 7 - Vicki Perry

Name	Vicki Perry
Address	PO Box 2454 PARINGA SA, 5340 Australia
Submission Date	17/07/2026 11:51 AM
Submission Source	Online
Late Submission	No
Would you like to talk to your representation at the decision-making hearing for this development?	Yes
My position is	I oppose the development

Reasons

Any application to build on the land specified should be rejected. My mother used to own the property behind (9 Panorama Court). There used to be a 15 meter flatish area in front of her and the previous owner of the land in question graded it down a fraction and pushed the excess over the cliff to make a bigger pad. She complained to council and was told that you can't do that, but nothing was done. She contacted EPA as advised and they said as long as the sand didn't go into the river, it was ok. The current owner has completely excavated the cliff to a big pad nearly down to the bottom which is a huge eyesore from the river and the houses surrounding. There are huge channels from rain run off in the pad where water has run down, surely destabilising everything and clogging the river below. The sand has to be going into the river. My mum was always told there were aboriginal bones below that had been put in the cliff, but the cliff is no more. Bones have been found and exposed, some were visible in the rain channels that have been formed. The drains required by SA Planning have been filled in and all work halted. How can a build go ahead without the correct drainage and no one knows exactly where the bones and middens are. Even though there is no heritage overlay, surely as the River Murray was the source of water to our first peoples RMMAC should have been consulted. How can anybody knock down a cliff close to a water source and then do what they want without major ramifications. Money talks. Some people just do what they want and pay the fine, if raised, as they have gotten the result they want. How can any plans be approved without consultation with other government bodies but then again this is government and no department talks to another about things that matter. I worked for DEW and there was a landscape board officer, a crown lands officer and two DEW compliance officers having an informal chat about this land in front of my desk, one officer formally from the Renmark Paringa council said "I remember that, we just wiped our hands of it. The other officer realised I could hear the conversation and knew I knew what they were talking about. They then moved downstairs. Who will monitor all the work. If it's council. They don't care. All work should be stopped and the land remediated and no plans approved. Before this damage was done, the surrounding properties had nice views and stable boundaries that wouldn't be undermined with heavy rain but now they don't.

Attached Documents

Representations

Representor 8 - Vicki Perry

Name	Vicki Perry
Address	PO Box 2454 PARINGA SA, 5340 Australia
Submission Date	17/07/2026 11:52 AM
Submission Source	Online
Late Submission	No
Would you like to talk to your representation at the decision-making hearing for this development?	Yes
My position is	I oppose the development

Reasons

Any application to build on the land specified should be rejected. My mother used to own the property behind (9 Panorama Court). There used to be a 15 meter flatish area in front of her and the previous owner of the land in question graded it down a fraction and pushed the excess over the cliff to make a bigger pad. She complained to council and was told that you can't do that, but nothing was done. She contacted EPA as advised and they said as long as the sand didn't go into the river, it was ok. The current owner has completely excavated the cliff to a big pad nearly down to the bottom which is a huge eyesore from the river and the houses surrounding. There are huge channels from rain run off in the pad where water has run down, surely destabilising everything and clogging the river below. The sand has to be going into the river. My mum was always told there were aboriginal bones below that had been put in the cliff, but the cliff is no more. Bones have been found and exposed, some were visible in the rain channels that have been formed. The drains required by SA Planning have been filled in and all work halted. How can a build go ahead without the correct drainage and no one knows exactly where the bones and middens are. Even though there is no heritage overlay, surely as the River Murray was the source of water to our first peoples RMMAC should have been consulted. How can anybody knock down a cliff close to a water source and then do what they want without major ramifications. Money talks. Some people just do what they want and pay the fine, if raised, as they have gotten the result they want. How can any plans be approved without consultation with other government bodies but then again this is government and no department talks to another about things that matter. I worked for DEW and there was a landscape board officer, a crown lands officer and two DEW compliance officers having an informal chat about this land in front of my desk, one officer formally from the Renmark Paringa council said "I remember that, we just wiped our hands of it. The other officer realised I could hear the conversation and knew I knew what they were talking about. They then moved downstairs. Who will monitor all the work. If it's council. They don't care. All work should be stopped and the land remediated and no plans approved. Before this damage was done, the surrounding properties had nice views and stable boundaries that wouldn't be undermined with heavy rain but now they don't.

Attached Documents

Representations

Representor 9 - Vicki Perry

Name	Vicki Perry
Address	PO Box 2454 PARINGA SA, 5340 Australia
Submission Date	17/07/2026 11:53 AM
Submission Source	Online
Late Submission	No
Would you like to talk to your representation at the decision-making hearing for this development?	Yes
My position is	I oppose the development

Reasons

Any application to build on the land specified should be rejected. My mother used to own the property behind (9 Panorama Court). There used to be a 15 meter flatish area in front of her and the previous owner of the land in question graded it down a fraction and pushed the excess over the cliff to make a bigger pad. She complained to council and was told that you can't do that, but nothing was done. She contacted EPA as advised and they said as long as the sand didn't go into the river, it was ok. The current owner has completely excavated the cliff to a big pad nearly down to the bottom which is a huge eyesore from the river and the houses surrounding. There are huge channels from rain run off in the pad where water has run down, surely destabilising everything and clogging the river below. The sand has to be going into the river. My mum was always told there were aboriginal bones below that had been put in the cliff, but the cliff is no more. Bones have been found and exposed, some were visible in the rain channels that have been formed. The drains required by SA Planning have been filled in and all work halted. How can a build go ahead without the correct drainage and no one knows exactly where the bones and middens are. Even though there is no heritage overlay, surely as the River Murray was the source of water to our first peoples RMMAC should have been consulted. How can anybody knock down a cliff close to a water source and then do what they want without major ramifications. Money talks. Some people just do what they want and pay the fine, if raised, as they have gotten the result they want. How can any plans be approved without consultation with other government bodies but then again this is government and no department talks to another about things that matter. I worked for DEW and there was a landscape board officer, a crown lands officer and two DEW compliance officers having an informal chat about this land in front of my desk, one officer formally from the Renmark Paringa council said "I remember that, we just wiped our hands of it. The other officer realised I could hear the conversation and knew I knew what they were talking about. They then moved downstairs. Who will monitor all the work. If it's council. They don't care. All work should be stopped and the land remediated and no plans approved. Before this damage was done, the surrounding properties had nice views and stable boundaries that wouldn't be undermined with heavy rain but now they don't.

Attached Documents

Representations

Representor 10 - Vicki Perry

Name	Vicki Perry
Address	PO Box 2454 PARINGA SA, 5340 Australia
Submission Date	17/07/2026 11:53 AM
Submission Source	Online
Late Submission	No
Would you like to talk to your representation at the decision-making hearing for this development?	Yes
My position is	I oppose the development

Reasons

Any application to build on the land specified should be rejected. My mother used to own the property behind (9 Panorama Court). There used to be a 15 meter flatish area in front of her and the previous owner of the land in question graded it down a fraction and pushed the excess over the cliff to make a bigger pad. She complained to council and was told that you can't do that, but nothing was done. She contacted EPA as advised and they said as long as the sand didn't go into the river, it was ok. The current owner has completely excavated the cliff to a big pad nearly down to the bottom which is a huge eyesore from the river and the houses surrounding. There are huge channels from rain run off in the pad where water has run down, surely destabilising everything and clogging the river below. The sand has to be going into the river. My mum was always told there were aboriginal bones below that had been put in the cliff, but the cliff is no more. Bones have been found and exposed, some were visible in the rain channels that have been formed. The drains required by SA Planning have been filled in and all work halted. How can a build go ahead without the correct drainage and no one knows exactly where the bones and middens are. Even though there is no heritage overlay, surely as the River Murray was the source of water to our first peoples RMMAC should have been consulted. How can anybody knock down a cliff close to a water source and then do what they want without major ramifications. Money talks. Some people just do what they want and pay the fine, if raised, as they have gotten the result they want. How can any plans be approved without consultation with other government bodies but then again this is government and no department talks to another about things that matter. I worked for DEW and there was a landscape board officer, a crown lands officer and two DEW compliance officers having an informal chat about this land in front of my desk, one officer formally from the Renmark Paringa council said "I remember that, we just wiped our hands of it. The other officer realised I could hear the conversation and knew I knew what they were talking about. They then moved downstairs. Who will monitor all the work. If it's council. They don't care. All work should be stopped and the land remediated and no plans approved. Before this damage was done, the surrounding properties had nice views and stable boundaries that wouldn't be undermined with heavy rain but now they don't.

Attached Documents

Representations

Representor 11 - Vicki Perry

Name	Vicki Perry
Address	PO Box 2454 PARINGA SA, 5340 Australia
Submission Date	17/07/2026 11:53 AM
Submission Source	Online
Late Submission	No
Would you like to talk to your representation at the decision-making hearing for this development?	Yes
My position is	I oppose the development

Reasons

Any application to build on the land specified should be rejected. My mother used to own the property behind (9 Panorama Court). There used to be a 15 meter flatish area in front of her and the previous owner of the land in question graded it down a fraction and pushed the excess over the cliff to make a bigger pad. She complained to council and was told that you can't do that, but nothing was done. She contacted EPA as advised and they said as long as the sand didn't go into the river, it was ok. The current owner has completely excavated the cliff to a big pad nearly down to the bottom which is a huge eyesore from the river and the houses surrounding. There are huge channels from rain run off in the pad where water has run down, surely destabilising everything and clogging the river below. The sand has to be going into the river. My mum was always told there were aboriginal bones below that had been put in the cliff, but the cliff is no more. Bones have been found and exposed, some were visible in the rain channels that have been formed. The drains required by SA Planning have been filled in and all work halted. How can a build go ahead without the correct drainage and no one knows exactly where the bones and middens are. Even though there is no heritage overlay, surely as the River Murray was the source of water to our first peoples RMMAC should have been consulted. How can anybody knock down a cliff close to a water source and then do what they want without major ramifications. Money talks. Some people just do what they want and pay the fine, if raised, as they have gotten the result they want. How can any plans be approved without consultation with other government bodies but then again this is government and no department talks to another about things that matter. I worked for DEW and there was a landscape board officer, a crown lands officer and two DEW compliance officers having an informal chat about this land in front of my desk, one officer formally from the Renmark Paringa council said "I remember that, we just wiped our hands of it. The other officer realised I could hear the conversation and knew I knew what they were talking about. They then moved downstairs. Who will monitor all the work. If it's council. They don't care. All work should be stopped and the land remediated and no plans approved. Before this damage was done, the surrounding properties had nice views and stable boundaries that wouldn't be undermined with heavy rain but now they don't.

Attached Documents

Representations

Representor 12 - Vicki Perry

Name	Vicki Perry
Address	PO Box 2454 PARINGA SA, 5340 Australia
Submission Date	17/07/2026 11:54 AM
Submission Source	Online
Late Submission	No
Would you like to talk to your representation at the decision-making hearing for this development?	Yes
My position is	I oppose the development

Reasons

Any application to build on the land specified should be rejected. My mother used to own the property behind (9 Panorama Court). There used to be a 15 meter flatish area in front of her and the previous owner of the land in question graded it down a fraction and pushed the excess over the cliff to make a bigger pad. She complained to council and was told that you can't do that, but nothing was done. She contacted EPA as advised and they said as long as the sand didn't go into the river, it was ok. The current owner has completely excavated the cliff to a big pad nearly down to the bottom which is a huge eyesore from the river and the houses surrounding. There are huge channels from rain run off in the pad where water has run down, surely destabilising everything and clogging the river below. The sand has to be going into the river. My mum was always told there were aboriginal bones below that had been put in the cliff, but the cliff is no more. Bones have been found and exposed, some were visible in the rain channels that have been formed. The drains required by SA Planning have been filled in and all work halted. How can a build go ahead without the correct drainage and no one knows exactly where the bones and middens are. Even though there is no heritage overlay, surely as the River Murray was the source of water to our first peoples RMMAC should have been consulted. How can anybody knock down a cliff close to a water source and then do what they want without major ramifications. Money talks. Some people just do what they want and pay the fine, if raised, as they have gotten the result they want. How can any plans be approved without consultation with other government bodies but then again this is government and no department talks to another about things that matter. I worked for DEW and there was a landscape board officer, a crown lands officer and two DEW compliance officers having an informal chat about this land in front of my desk, one officer formally from the Renmark Paringa council said "I remember that, we just wiped our hands of it. The other officer realised I could hear the conversation and knew I knew what they were talking about. They then moved downstairs. Who will monitor all the work. If it's council. They don't care. All work should be stopped and the land remediated and no plans approved. Before this damage was done, the surrounding properties had nice views and stable boundaries that wouldn't be undermined with heavy rain but now they don't.

Attached Documents

Representations

Representor 13 - Vicki Perry

Name	Vicki Perry
Address	PO Box 2454 PARINGA SA, 5340 Australia
Submission Date	17/07/2026 11:54 AM
Submission Source	Online
Late Submission	No
Would you like to talk to your representation at the decision-making hearing for this development?	Yes
My position is	I oppose the development

Reasons

Any application to build on the land specified should be rejected. My mother used to own the property behind (9 Panorama Court). There used to be a 15 meter flatish area in front of her and the previous owner of the land in question graded it down a fraction and pushed the excess over the cliff to make a bigger pad. She complained to council and was told that you can't do that, but nothing was done. She contacted EPA as advised and they said as long as the sand didn't go into the river, it was ok. The current owner has completely excavated the cliff to a big pad nearly down to the bottom which is a huge eyesore from the river and the houses surrounding. There are huge channels from rain run off in the pad where water has run down, surely destabilising everything and clogging the river below. The sand has to be going into the river. My mum was always told there were aboriginal bones below that had been put in the cliff, but the cliff is no more. Bones have been found and exposed, some were visible in the rain channels that have been formed. The drains required by SA Planning have been filled in and all work halted. How can a build go ahead without the correct drainage and no one knows exactly where the bones and middens are. Even though there is no heritage overlay, surely as the River Murray was the source of water to our first peoples RMMAC should have been consulted. How can anybody knock down a cliff close to a water source and then do what they want without major ramifications. Money talks. Some people just do what they want and pay the fine, if raised, as they have gotten the result they want. How can any plans be approved without consultation with other government bodies but then again this is government and no department talks to another about things that matter. I worked for DEW and there was a landscape board officer, a crown lands officer and two DEW compliance officers having an informal chat about this land in front of my desk, one officer formally from the Renmark Paringa council said "I remember that, we just wiped our hands of it. The other officer realised I could hear the conversation and knew I knew what they were talking about. They then moved downstairs. Who will monitor all the work. If it's council. They don't care. All work should be stopped and the land remediated and no plans approved. Before this damage was done, the surrounding properties had nice views and stable boundaries that wouldn't be undermined with heavy rain but now they don't.

Attached Documents

Representations

Representor 14 - Vicki Perry

Name	Vicki Perry
Address	PO Box 2454 PARINGA SA, 5340 Australia
Submission Date	17/07/2026 11:54 AM
Submission Source	Online
Late Submission	No
Would you like to talk to your representation at the decision-making hearing for this development?	Yes
My position is	I oppose the development

Reasons

Any application to build on the land specified should be rejected. My mother used to own the property behind (9 Panorama Court). There used to be a 15 meter flatish area in front of her and the previous owner of the land in question graded it down a fraction and pushed the excess over the cliff to make a bigger pad. She complained to council and was told that you can't do that, but nothing was done. She contacted EPA as advised and they said as long as the sand didn't go into the river, it was ok. The current owner has completely excavated the cliff to a big pad nearly down to the bottom which is a huge eyesore from the river and the houses surrounding. There are huge channels from rain run off in the pad where water has run down, surely destabilising everything and clogging the river below. The sand has to be going into the river. My mum was always told there were aboriginal bones below that had been put in the cliff, but the cliff is no more. Bones have been found and exposed, some were visible in the rain channels that have been formed. The drains required by SA Planning have been filled in and all work halted. How can a build go ahead without the correct drainage and no one knows exactly where the bones and middens are. Even though there is no heritage overlay, surely as the River Murray was the source of water to our first peoples RMMAC should have been consulted. How can anybody knock down a cliff close to a water source and then do what they want without major ramifications. Money talks. Some people just do what they want and pay the fine, if raised, as they have gotten the result they want. How can any plans be approved without consultation with other government bodies but then again this is government and no department talks to another about things that matter. I worked for DEW and there was a landscape board officer, a crown lands officer and two DEW compliance officers having an informal chat about this land in front of my desk, one officer formally from the Renmark Paringa council said "I remember that, we just wiped our hands of it. The other officer realised I could hear the conversation and knew I knew what they were talking about. They then moved downstairs. Who will monitor all the work. If it's council. They don't care. All work should be stopped and the land remediated and no plans approved. Before this damage was done, the surrounding properties had nice views and stable boundaries that wouldn't be undermined with heavy rain but now they don't.

Attached Documents

Representations

Representor 15 - Vicki Perry

Name	Vicki Perry
Address	PO Box 2454 PARINGA SA, 5340 Australia
Submission Date	17/07/2026 11:56 AM
Submission Source	Online
Late Submission	No
Would you like to talk to your representation at the decision-making hearing for this development?	Yes
My position is	I oppose the development

Reasons

Any application to build on the land specified should be rejected. My mother used to own the property behind (9 Panorama Court). There used to be a 15 meter flatish area in front of her and the previous owner of the land in question graded it down a fraction and pushed the excess over the cliff to make a bigger pad. She complained to council and was told that you can't do that, but nothing was done. She contacted EPA as advised and they said as long as the sand didn't go into the river, it was ok. The current owner has completely excavated the cliff to a big pad nearly down to the bottom which is a huge eyesore from the river and the houses surrounding. There are huge channels from rain run off in the pad where water has run down, surely destabilising everything and clogging the river below. The sand has to be going into the river. My mum was always told there were aboriginal bones below that had been put in the cliff, but the cliff is no more. Bones have been found and exposed, some were visible in the rain channels that have been formed. The drains required by SA Planning have been filled in and all work halted. How can a build go ahead without the correct drainage and no one knows exactly where the bones and middens are. Even though there is no heritage overlay, surely as the River Murray was the source of water to our first peoples RMMAC should have been consulted. How can anybody knock down a cliff close to a water source and then do what they want without major ramifications. Money talks. Some people just do what they want and pay the fine, if raised, as they have gotten the result they want. How can any plans be approved without consultation with other government bodies but then again this is government and no department talks to another about things that matter. I worked for DEW and there was a landscape board officer, a crown lands officer and two DEW compliance officers having an informal chat about this land in front of my desk, one officer formally from the Renmark Paringa council said "I remember that, we just wiped our hands of it. The other officer realised I could hear the conversation and knew I knew what they were talking about. They then moved downstairs. Who will monitor all the work. If it's council. They don't care. All work should be stopped and the land remediated and no plans approved. Before this damage was done, the surrounding properties had nice views and stable boundaries that wouldn't be undermined with heavy rain but now they don't.

Attached Documents

Representations

Representor 16 - Vicki Perry

Name	Vicki Perry
Address	PO Box 2454 PARINGA SA, 5340 Australia
Submission Date	17/07/2026 11:57 AM
Submission Source	Online
Late Submission	No
Would you like to talk to your representation at the decision-making hearing for this development?	Yes
My position is	I oppose the development

Reasons

Any application to build on the land specified should be rejected. My mother used to own the property behind (9 Panorama Court). There used to be a 15 meter flatish area in front of her and the previous owner of the land in question graded it down a fraction and pushed the excess over the cliff to make a bigger pad. She complained to council and was told that you can't do that, but nothing was done. She contacted EPA as advised and they said as long as the sand didn't go into the river, it was ok. The current owner has completely excavated the cliff to a big pad nearly down to the bottom which is a huge eyesore from the river and the houses surrounding. There are huge channels from rain run off in the pad where water has run down, surely destabilising everything and clogging the river below. The sand has to be going into the river. My mum was always told there were aboriginal bones below that had been put in the cliff, but the cliff is no more. Bones have been found and exposed, some were visible in the rain channels that have been formed. The drains required by SA Planning have been filled in and all work halted. How can a build go ahead without the correct drainage and no one knows exactly where the bones and middens are. Even though there is no heritage overlay, surely as the River Murray was the source of water to our first peoples RMMAC should have been consulted. How can anybody knock down a cliff close to a water source and then do what they want without major ramifications. Money talks. Some people just do what they want and pay the fine, if raised, as they have gotten the result they want. How can any plans be approved without consultation with other government bodies but then again this is government and no department talks to another about things that matter. I worked for DEW and there was a landscape board officer, a crown lands officer and two DEW compliance officers having an informal chat about this land in front of my desk, one officer formally from the Renmark Paringa council said "I remember that, we just wiped our hands of it. The other officer realised I could hear the conversation and knew I knew what they were talking about. They then moved downstairs. Who will monitor all the work. If it's council. They don't care. All work should be stopped and the land remediated and no plans approved. Before this damage was done, the surrounding properties had nice views and stable boundaries that wouldn't be undermined with heavy rain but now they don't.

Attached Documents