

Details of Representations

Application Summary

Application ID	24036351
Proposal	Tourist accommodation (glamping tent) with associated access, carparking area and water tank.
Location	2794 SOUTH COAST RD FOUL BAY SA 5577

Representations

Representor 1 - Crystal Redding

Name	Crystal Redding
Address	PO BOX 261, MAITLAND SA, 5573 Australia
Submission Date	26/05/2026 02:20 PM
Submission Source	Online
Late Submission	No
Would you like to talk to your representation at the decision-making hearing for this development?	No
My position is	I oppose the development

Reasons

I own and lease land directly inland from the application, primary production of grain & lamb. How can a dwelling be installed in a land deemed conservation? How can the applicant have permission to clear land? Surely, that is incorrect. It goes against all of our knowledge. A septic system on conserved land?! Absolutely not ok. South Coast Road already struggles to accommodate the traffic, how is YPC going to keep up with grading the surface and upgrading the infrastructure? What about power? It is notoriously bad in the area. South Coast Road already has many accommodation options. There is no need for more, the current availability does not deem it financially viable. As a primary producer, in VERY close proximity - this development will impact our business. More traffic, noise complaints from machinery use, smell from chemicals and fertilisers used may bother clients. Possibly suburban/domesticated dogs in our mob of sheep? Will we need to stop our NYLB fox baiting? The height & overall size of the structure means will very clearly be visible. Is there fire safety in place? It is a very unsafe area in the summer peak period. Our fire equipment is dedicated to machinery & safety of our staff. We do not have to means to look after more bodies when CFS can be over 15min away. Do not rely on us in an emergency. Overall - absolutely do not support this. Way too many red-flags. Particularly the conservation aspect. Some of our land is conserved and we would absolutely not clear for a structure or pathway. It is inconsistent with environmental protection.

Attached Documents

Representations

Representor 2 - Thomas Gordon

Name	Thomas Gordon
Address	PO Box 37 WAROOKA SA, 5577 Australia
Submission Date	27/05/2026 10:31 AM
Submission Source	Post
Late Submission	No
Would you like to talk to your representation at the decision-making hearing for this development?	No
My position is	I oppose the development
Reasons	

Attached Documents

TgordonSupportingDocumenation-14441822.pdf
--

REPRESENTATION ON APPLICATION

Planning, Development and Infrastructure Act 2016



Applicant: Thomas and Joan Gordon *[applicant name]*

Development Number: 24036351 24036351 *[development application number]*

Nature of Development: Tourist accomodation & associated works *[development description of performance assessed eleme]*

Zone/Sub-zone/Overlay: CT5384/889 H130200SE1
[zone/sub-zone/overlay of subject land]

Subject Land: 2794 South Coast Rd, Foul Bay, SA 5577 *[street number, street name, suburb, postcode]*
[lot number, plan number, certificate of title number, volume & folio]

Contact Officer: State Planning Commission *[relevant authority name]*

Phone Number: 1800 752 664 *[authority phone]*

Close Date: 29/05/2026 *[closing date for submissions]*

My name*: Mr Thomas Gordon

My phone number: 0459358510

My postal address*: PO Box 37, Warooka SA 5577

My email: NIL

* Indicates mandatory information

My position is:

☐ I support the development

☐ I support the development with some concerns

☒ I oppose the development



Government of South Australia

Department for Housing
and Urban Development

The specific reasons I believe that consent should be ~~granted~~/refused are:

This development may bring increased noise to my property adjacent to the development. I have lived on this property for 21 years.

This development will bring extra traffic to South Coast Rd, which is already in sub-par condition. Increased volume will cause safety concerns on the road for myself, my family and neighbours. Are there plans to update the infrastructure to accommodate the traffic increase.

Why is the septic system planned in the conservation zone of the property?

Dogs? I have significant concern that domestic animals may interrupt or harm my livestock; namely sheep, chicken and ducks. How can we ensure the safety of my stock?

Why is conserved land being cleared for a path/driveway/parking areas? To my knowledge, this is inconsistent with current regulations.

Is there not enough accommodation close by? There is camping and housing accommodations that are already not at capacity in the very close proximity to this development.

We do not correspond via email

Please return all correspondence by phone and/or mail

*Mr Thomas Gordon & Mrs Joan Gordon
Ph 08 88546491 or 0459358510*

[attach additional pages as needed]

Note: In order for this submission to be valid, it must:

- be in writing; and
- include the name and address of the person (or persons) who are making the representation; and
- set out the particular reasons why consent should be granted or refused; and
- comment only on the performance-based elements (or aspects) of the proposal, which does not include the:
 - [Click here to enter text.](#) *[list any accepted or deemed-to-satisfy elements of the development].*

I:

☐ wish to be heard in support of my submission*

☒ do not wish to be heard in support of my submission

By: ☐ appearing personally

☐ being represented by the following person: [Click here to enter text.](#)

**You may be contacted if you indicate that you wish to be heard by the relevant authority in support of your submission*

Signature:



Date: 13/05/2026

Return Address: PO BOX 37 Warooka SA 5577 *[relevant authority postal address]* or

Email: NIL *[relevant authority email address]* or

Complete online submission: plan.sa.gov.au/have_your_say/notified_developments

Representations

Representor 3 - Catherine Malcolm Redding

Name	Catherine Malcolm Redding
Address	PO Box 31 WAROOKA SA SA, 5577 Australia
Submission Date	29/05/2026 07:42 PM
Submission Source	Online
Late Submission	No
Would you like to talk to your representation at the decision-making hearing for this development?	Yes
My position is	I oppose the development

Reasons

Despite owning farming land across the road from this proposed development we were not notified of this application by 'authorities' . We were informed by neighbouring residents. We are concerned.. 1. Our ability to farm with standard techniques and in appropriate time frames could be impacted. Currently there are no restrictions or complaints from those residents near our agricultural land.. Those not familiar with sprays, late night seeding, spraying and reaping, fire management, sheep movement on roads, land ownership and trespass as well as fox baiting can severely impact our farming operations. This could cause problems between tourists and farmers. 2. Not consistent with previous applications. We are confused on the basis of this development. Was a dwelling approved and now they've applied for a tourist structure? Or was the only way a dwelling could be approved is if it is part of a tourist application? It has been our understanding from previous owners of these coastal blocks and those who made enquires that no dwelling can be approved 'close' to the beach or on land less than 100 acres. Is this still the case? And if approved then it follows that other coastal landowners can have dwellings on their land. 3. The South Coast road struggles now with the agricultural and tourist traffic and is quite dangerous at times. It has limited and often ineffective grading. Adding extra road users would magnify this issue. Thankyou for considering our concerns.

Attached Documents