



Vipac Engineers and Scientists Limited
279 Normanby Rd, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia
Private Bag 16, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia
t. +61 3 9647 9700 | e. melbourne@vipac.com.au
w. www.vipac.com.au | A.B.N. 33 005 453 627 | A.C.N. 005 453 627


Adelaide Central Plaza Pty Ltd

100 Rundle Mall, Adelaide Central Plaza,
Adelaide

Wind Impact Assessment

30N-25-0096-TNT-100220-2

2 April 2025

Job Title:	100 Rundle Mall, Adelaide Central Plaza, Adelaide		
Report Title:	Wind Impact Assessment		
Document Reference:	30N-25-0096-TNT-100220-2		
Prepared For:	Adelaide Central Plaza Pty Ltd		Prepared By:
			Vipac Engineers and Scientists Limited 279 Normanby Rd, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia
Contact:	Tom Jarrett (PACT Architects)		Tel: +61 3 9647 9700
Tel:	0422 628 878		
Author:	Rumman Islam	Wind Consultant	
	2 Apr 2025		
Reviewer:	Zhuyun Xu	Principal Engineer	
	1 Apr 2025		
Issued By:	Rumman Islam		Wind Consultant
	2 Apr 2025		
Revision History:			
<i>Rev. #</i>	<i>Comments / Details of change(s) made</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Revised by:</i>
Rev. 00	Original issue	07 Mar 2025	
Rev. 01	Minor amendments	25 Mar 2025	RI
Rev. 02	Updated ground level plan	01 Apr 2025	RI

NOTE: This report has been prepared solely for the benefit of the client to whom this report is addressed for use herein ("Client") unless otherwise agreed in writing by Vipac Engineers and Scientists Limited ACN 005 453 627 ("Vipac"). Neither the whole of this report or any part of it may be published, duplicated or circulated without the prior written approval of Vipac except as required by law. Vipac does not assume any responsibility or liability for any losses suffered as a result of the publication, duplication or circulation of this report and excludes all liability whatsoever to any third party who may use or rely on the whole, or any part of this report.

Vipac has prepared this report using all reasonable care, skill and due diligence within the time period, budget and resources allocated to Vipac as agreed with the Client. Vipac excludes all liability to the Client whatsoever, whether in whole or in part, for the Client's use or reliance on the report other than for the purposes set out in the report, or any matters outside the agreed scope of the work.

For the purposes of preparing this report, reliance has been placed upon the material, representations, information and instructions provided to Vipac unless otherwise stated in the report. Originals of documents provided have not been required and no audit or examination of the validity of the documentation, representations, information or instructions provided has been undertaken except to the extent otherwise stated in this report. Information and findings contained in this report are based on Vipac's interpretation of data collected.

This document contains commercial, conceptual, engineering and other information that is proprietary to Vipac. The inclusion of this information in the report does not grant the Client any license to use the information without Vipac's prior written permission.

Executive Summary

Adelaide Central Plaza Pty Ltd commissioned Vipac Engineers and Scientists Ltd to prepare a statement of wind effects for the ground level areas adjacent to the proposed development at **100 Rundle Mall, Adelaide Central Plaza, Adelaide**. This appraisal is based on Vipac's experience as a wind-engineering consultancy.

Drawings of the proposed development were provided by **PACT Architects** in **March 2025**. Updated ground level plan has been provided in **April 2025**.

The findings of this study can be summarized as follows:

With proposed design:

- Elevated wind levels are expected at the northeastern corner, however, wind conditions at most ground level footpath areas and access ways would be expected to be within the recommended **walking** comfort criterion;
- Wind conditions at the main entrances would be expected to be within the recommended **standing** comfort criterion;
- Wind conditions in the outdoor seating areas would be expected to be within the recommended **sitting** comfort criterion **with the recommendations**;
- Wind conditions at the Level 5 and Level 6 communal areas would be expected to be within the recommended **walking / standing** comfort criteria; and
- Wind conditions would be expected to fulfil safety criterion.

As a general statement, educating occupants about wind conditions at open terrace/balcony areas during high-wind events and fixing loose, lightweight furniture on the terrace are highly recommended.

The assessments provided in this report have been made based on experience of similar situations in Adelaide and around the world. As with any opinion, it is possible that an assessment of wind effects based on experience and without experimental validation may not account for all complex flow scenarios in the vicinity.

Vipac recommends a wind tunnel test or CFD simulations be conducted in the detail design stage to quantify the wind conditions and determine the proper wind control measures wherever necessary.

Table of Contents

1	Introduction	5
2	Analysis Approach	7
2.1	Site Exposure	8
2.2	Regional Wind Climate	9
2.3	Building Geometry and Orientation	10
2.4	Flow interactions with Adjacent Developments	11
2.5	Assessment Criteria	12
2.5.1	Use of Adjacent Pedestrian Occupied Areas & Recommended Comfort Criteria	14
2.5.2	Terrace / Balcony Recommended Criterion Discussion	14
3	Pedestrian Level Wind Effects	16
3.1	Discussion & Recommendations	16
4	Conclusions	18
	Appendix A Environmental Wind Effects	19
	Appendix B References	20
	Appendix C Drawings List	21

1 Introduction

Vipac Engineers and Scientists has been commissioned by **Adelaide Central Plaza Pty Ltd** to carry out an appraisal of the pedestrian wind effects at the ground level of the proposed development at **100 Rundle Mall, Adelaide Central Plaza, Adelaide**.

Strong winds in pedestrian areas are frequently encountered in central business districts of cities around the world; including Sydney, Melbourne and Brisbane. Wind characteristics such as the mean speed, turbulence and ambient temperature determine the extent of disturbance to users of pedestrian areas. These disturbances can cause both comfort and safety problems and require careful consideration to mitigate successfully.

The proposed development is a 31-storey commercial building with a maximum height of 132.01m from ground level. The site is bounded by North Terrace to the north, existing mall to the south, Charles Street to the east and existing development to the west. A satellite image of the proposed development site and the eastern elevation of the building are shown in Figure 1 and Figure 2, respectively.

This report details the opinion of Vipac as an experienced wind engineering consultancy regarding the wind effects in ground level footpath areas adjacent to the development as proposed. No wind tunnel testing has been carried out for this development at this stage. Vipac has carried out wind tunnel studies on a large number of developments of similar shape and having similar exposure to that of the proposed development. These serve as a valid reference for the prediction of wind effects. Empirical data for typical buildings in boundary layer flows has also been used to estimate the likely wind conditions on the ground level areas of the proposed development [2] & [3].

Drawings of the proposed development were supplied to Vipac by **PACT Architects** in **March 2025**. Updated ground level plan has been provided in **April 2025**. A list of drawings supplied is provided in Appendix C of this report.



Figure 1: Aerial view of the proposed development site.




Figure 2: Eastern elevation of the proposed development.

2 Analysis Approach

In assessing whether a proposed development is likely to generate adverse wind conditions in ground level footpath areas, Vipac has considered the following five main points:

- The exposure of the proposed development to wind;
- The regional wind climate;
- The geometry and orientation of the proposed development;
- The interaction of flows with adjacent developments; and
- The assessment criteria determined by the intended use of the areas affected by wind flows generated or augmented by the proposed development.

The pedestrian wind comfort at specific locations of ground level footpath areas may be assessed by predicting the gust and mean wind speeds with a probability of once per year expected at that location. The location may be deemed generally acceptable for its intended use while gust and mean wind speeds are within the threshold values noted in Section 2.5. Where Vipac predicts that a location would not meet its appropriate comfort criterion, the use of wind control devices and/or local building geometry modifications to achieve the desired comfort rating may be recommended. For complex flow scenarios or where predicted flow conditions are well in excess of the recommended criteria, Vipac recommends scale model wind tunnel testing to determine the type and scope of the wind control measures required to achieve acceptable wind conditions.

2.1 Site Exposure

The proposed development is located on a relatively flat terrain. The site is located within the CBD and within approximately an 8km radius, is immediately surrounded by high-rise buildings, with mainly low to mid-rise developments outside the CBD. A satellite image showing these site surroundings is shown in Figure 3.

Considering the immediate surroundings and terrain, for the purposes of this study, the site of the proposed development is assumed to be within Terrain Category 3 for all wind directions (Figure 3).





Figure 3: Assumed terrain categories for wind speed estimation.

2.2 Regional Wind Climate

The mean and gust wind speeds have been recorded in the Adelaide area for 30 years. These data have been analysed and the directional probability distribution of wind speeds have been determined. The directional distribution of hourly mean wind speed at the gradient height ($\approx 500\text{m}$), with a probability of occurring once per year (i.e. 1 year return period) is shown in Figure 4. The wind data at this free stream height are common to all Adelaide city sites and may be used as a reference to assess ground level wind conditions at the site. Figure 4 indicates that the stronger winds can be expected from the south-westerly, north-westerly and westerly directions.



2.3 Building Geometry and Orientation

The proposed development is a 31-storey commercial building. The overall dimensions are approximately 36.5m x 45.7m as shown in Figure 5. The main entrances are located along the north and east. The development does not incorporate a tower setback design.




Figure 5: Ground floor plan with the overall dimensions overlaid.

2.4 Flow interactions with Adjacent Developments

The immediately adjacent developments are shown in Figure 6. At ground level, the site is exposed to direct winds from the westerly directions channelling along North Terrace. The building is oriented such that adverse impacts from corner acceleration of northerly winds is expected at ground level. The development is taller than most surrounding buildings and so is highly exposed at the upper levels.



Figure 6: Immediately adjacent surroundings and the number of levels.

2.5 Assessment Criteria

With some consensus of international opinion, pedestrian wind comfort is rated according to the suitability of certain activities at a site in relation to the expected annual peak 3-second gust velocity at that location for each wind direction. Each of the major areas around the site are characterized by the annual maximum gust wind speeds. Most patrons would consider a site generally unacceptable for its intended use if it were probable that during one annual wind event, a peak 3-second gust occurs which exceeds the established comfort threshold velocity (shown in Table 1). If that threshold is exceeded once per year then it is also likely that during moderate winds, noticeably unpleasant wind conditions would result, and the windiness of the location would be considered as unacceptable.

Table 1: Recommended Wind Comfort and Safety Gust Criteria.

Annual Maximum Gust Speed	Result on Perceived Pedestrian Comfort
>23m/s	Unsafe (frail pedestrians knocked over)
<16m/s	Acceptable for Walking (steady steps for most pedestrians)
<13m/s	Acceptable for Standing (vehicle drop off, queuing)
<10m/s	Acceptable for Sitting (outdoor cafés, pool areas, gardens)

In a similar manner, a set of hourly mean velocity criteria (see Table 2) with a 0.1% probability of occurrence are also applicable to ground level areas in and adjacent to the proposed development. An area should be within both the relevant mean and gust limits in order to satisfy the particular human comfort and safety criteria in question.

Table 2: Recommended Wind Comfort and Safety Mean Criteria.

Mean Speed in 0.1% of Time	Result on Perceived Pedestrian Comfort
>15m/s	Unsafe (frail pedestrians knocked over)
<10m/s	Acceptable for Walking (steady steps for most pedestrians)
<7m/s	Acceptable for Standing (vehicle drop off, queuing)
<5m/s	Acceptable for Sitting (outdoor cafés, pool areas, gardens)

The Beaufort Scale is an empirical measure that related the wind speed to observed conditions on the land and sea. Table 3 describes the categories of the Beaufort Scale. The comparison between these observed conditions and the comfort criteria described above can be found in

Table 4.

Table 3: Beaufort Scale - empirical measure relating wind speed to observed conditions on land.

Beaufort Number	Descriptive Term	Wind Speed at 1.75 m height (m/s)	Specification for Estimating Speed
0	Calm	0-0.1	
1	Light Air	0.1-1.0	No noticeable wind
2	Light Breeze	1.1-2.3	Wind felt on face
3	Gentle Breeze	2.4-3.8	Hair disturbed, clothing flaps, newspapers difficult to read
4	Moderate Breeze	3.9-5.5	Raises dust and loose paper; hair disarranged
5	Fresh Breeze	5.6-7.5	Force of wind felt on body, danger of stumbling when entering a windy zone
6	Strong Breeze	7.6-9.7	Umbrellas used with difficulty, hair blown straight, difficult to walk steadily, sideways wind force about equal to forwards wind force, wind noise on ears unpleasant
7	Near Gale	9.8-12.0	Inconvenience felt when walking
8	Gale	12.1-14.5	Generally impedes progress, great difficulty with balance in gusts
9	Strong Gale	14.6-17.1	People blown over

Table 4: Comparison between Mean comfort criteria and the observed conditions.

Comfort Criteria	Beaufort Scale Equivalent
Safety	9 – Strong Gale
Walking	5 – Fresh Breeze
Standing	4-5 – Moderate to Fresh Breeze
Sitting	<4 – Moderate Breeze

2.5.1 Use of Adjacent Pedestrian Occupied Areas & Recommended Comfort Criteria

The consideration of the (intended) function of the environment heavily influences the appropriateness of the recommended wind comfort criteria. For example, people frequenting locations such as parks are will likely tolerate a windier environment when compared to people dining at an outdoor café.

This is partly due to the pedestrian's judgement in clothing and predetermined expectation of the wind environment and partly due to the sensitivity of their activities to wind. For example, patrons at outdoor dining areas are highly sensitivity to wind due to the stationary nature of the activity; whereas pedestrians on the public footpaths may maintain a level of comfort under otherwise uncomfortable conditions by partaking in general activities performed on the footpath such as walking.

The following table lists the specific areas adjacent to the proposed development and the corresponding recommended criteria.


Table 5: Recommended application of criteria

Area	Specific location	Recommended Criteria
Public Footpaths, Access ways	Along North Terrace and adjacent laneways (Figure 7)	Walking
Building Entrances	Main building entrances along the north and east (Figure 7)	Standing
Outdoor Seating Areas	Along the east (Figure 7)	Sitting
Outdoor Communal Areas	Level 5 and Level 6 (Figure 8)	Standing (see discussion below)

2.5.2 Terrace / Balcony Recommended Criterion Discussion

There are terraces located up the height of the development. Vipac recommends as a minimum that balcony/terrace areas meet the criterion for walking since:

- these areas are not public spaces;
- the use of these areas is optional, and only intended to be used on fair weather days with calm winds;
- residents at private open spaces can chose to retreat indoors during uncomfortable wind conditions, whiel a pedestrian or person using a public area may not have this option.
- many similar developments in Adelaide and other Australian capital cities experience wind conditions on balconies and elevated deck areas in the vicinity of the criterion for walking.




Recommended to fulfil Walking

Recommended to fulfil Standing

Recommended to fulfil Sitting

Figure 7: Ground floor plan with recommended wind criteria overlaid.



Recommended to fulfil Walking

Recommended to fulfil Standing

Figure 8: Level 5 (left) and Level 6 (right) plans with recommended wind criteria overlaid.

3 Pedestrian Level Wind Effects

3.1 Discussion & Recommendations

The proposed design has a number of features that are expected to be beneficial to the pedestrian wind environment. This is inclusive but not limited to the following:

- Segmented canopies along North Terrace;
- Setback to entrances;
- Tower setback design from Level 5; and
- Landscaping.

Due to the proposed height above the surrounding areas, the proposed development is particularly exposed to adverse northerly winds. Such that high wind levels are expected along Charles Street due to:

1. corner acceleration effects;
2. channelling between the proposed development and neighbouring 14-storey development; and
3. acceleration of winds through the existing link

Further investigations via wind tunnel test or CFD simulations is recommended to verify predictions and determine proper wind control measures wherever necessary.

The main entrances are located along North Terrace and Charles Street. In consideration of the positive design features listed above, wind speeds at all main entrances are expected to be within the recommended standing comfort criterion.

The outdoor seating areas are located along Charles Street and are located within the setback. As such, the seating areas are expected to be sufficiently shielded such that wind speeds at these locations are expected to be within the recommended sitting comfort criterion.

The level 5 and level 6 communal areas feature 1.4m and 1.1m high solid balustrades / planter boxes respectively along the perimeter and colonnades along the north. In addition, they have relatively small dimensions. As such, wind speeds at these locations are expected to be within the recommended walking / standing comfort criteria.

The wind conditions would be expected to fulfil the safety criterion throughout the site.

It should be noted that this study is based on experience only and has not utilised any experimental data for the analysis.

Vipac recommends a wind tunnel test or CFD simulations be conducted in the detail design stage to quantify the wind conditions and determine the proper wind control measures wherever necessary.



Figure 9: Ground floor plan with the recommended wind control measures overlaid.

4 Conclusions

An appraisal of the likely wind conditions at the pedestrian ground level and terrace areas of the proposed development at **100 Rundle Mall, Adelaide Central Plaza, Adelaide** has been made.

Vipac has carefully considered the form and exposure of the proposed development, nominated criteria for various public areas according to their function and referred to past experience to produce our opinion of likely wind conditions.

The findings of this study can be summarised as follows:

With proposed design:

- Elevated wind levels are expected at the northeastern corner, however, wind conditions at most ground level footpath areas and access ways would be expected to be within the recommended **walking** comfort criterion;
- Wind conditions at the main entrances would be expected to be within the recommended **standing** comfort criterion;
- Wind conditions in the outdoor seating areas would be expected to be within the recommended **sitting** comfort criterion;
- Wind conditions at the Level 5 and Level 6 communal areas would be expected to be within the recommended **walking / standing** comfort criteria; and
- Wind conditions would be expected to fulfil safety criterion.

As a general statement, educating occupants about wind conditions at open terrace/balcony areas during high-wind events and fixing loose, lightweight furniture on the terrace are highly recommended.

The assessments provided in this report have been made based on experience of similar situations in Adelaide and around the world. As with any opinion, it is possible that an assessment of wind effects based on experience and without experimental validation may not account for all complex flow scenarios in the vicinity.

Vipac recommends a wind tunnel test or CFD simulations be conducted in the detail design stage to quantify the wind conditions and determine the proper wind control measures wherever necessary.

This Report has been Prepared

For

Adelaide Central Plaza Pty Ltd

By

VIPAC ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS PTY LTD.

Appendix A Environmental Wind Effects

Atmospheric Boundary Layer

As wind flows over the earth it encounters various roughness elements and terrain such as water, forests, houses and buildings. To varying degrees, these elements reduce the mean wind speed at low elevations and increase air turbulence. The wind above these obstructions travels with unattenuated velocity, driven by atmospheric pressure gradients. The resultant increase in wind speed with height above ground is known as a wind velocity profile. When this wind profile encounters a tall building, some of the fast-moving wind at upper elevations is diverted down to ground level resulting in local adverse wind effects.

The terminology used to describe the wind flow patterns around the proposed development is based on the aerodynamic mechanism, direction and nature of the wind flow.

Downwash – refers to a flow of air down the exposed face of a tower. A tall tower can deflect a fast-moving wind at higher elevations downwards.

Corner Accelerations – when wind flows around the corner of a building it tends to accelerate in a similar manner to airflow over the top of an aeroplane wing.

Flow separation – when wind flowing along a surface suddenly detaches from that surface and the resultant energy dissipation produces increased turbulence in the flow. Flow separation at a building corner or at a solid screen can result in gusty conditions.

Flow channelling – the well-known “street canyon” effect occurs when a large volume of air is funnelled through a constricted pathway. To maintain flow continuity the wind must speed up as it passes through the constriction. Examples of this might occur between two towers, in a narrowing street or under a bridge.

Direct Exposure – a location with little upstream shielding for a wind direction of interest. The location will be exposed to the unabated mean wind and gust velocity. Piers and open water frontage may have such exposure.




Appendix B References

- [1] *Structural Design Actions, Part 2: Wind Actions*, Australian/New Zealand Standard 1170.2:2021
- [2] *Wind Effects on Structures* E. Simiu, R. Scanlan, Publisher: Wiley-Interscience
- [3] *Architectural Aerodynamics* R. Aynsley, W. Melbourne, B. Vickery, Publisher: Applied Science Publishers
- [4] *The Aerodynamic Characteristics of Windbreaks, Resulting in Empirical Design Rules* J. Gandemer, Publisher: *Journal of Wind Engineering and Industrial Aerodynamics*
- [6] *Wind Protection by Model Fences in a simulated Atmospheric Boundary Layer* J.K. Rain, D.C. Stevenson, Publisher: *Journal of Industrial Aerodynamics*, 2
- [7] *Criteria for Environmental Wind Conditions* W.H Melbourne, Publisher: *Journal of Wind Engineering and Industrial Aerodynamics*
- [8] *Wind Design Guide* J. Bennett Publisher: BBSC 433 – Architectural Aerodynamics
- [9] *Central City Built Form Review: Wind Assessments*, Global Wind Technology Services
- [10] *Wind Guidelines for Planning Applicants* H. Fricke Publisher: Moonee Valley City Council

Appendix C Drawings List


Drawings Received: **April 2025**

Name

 24176 - A-02-02 - Ground Plan-

Drawings Received: **March 2025**

Name

 24176 - Adelaide Central Plaza - Architectural Documentation