

APPENDIX 6. WIND IMPACT ASSESSMENT



Vipac Engineers and Scientists Limited
279 Normanby Rd, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207 Australia
Private Bag 16, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia
t. +61 3 9647 9700 | e. melbourne@vipac.com.au
w. www.vipac.com.au | A.B.N. 33 005 453 627 | A.C.N. 005 453 627


Moto Projects

193-195 North Terrace, Adelaide

Desktop Wind Impact Study

30N-25-0074-TRP-125413-0

29 May 2026

Job Title: 193-195 North Terrace, Adelaide			
Report Title: Desktop Wind Impact Study			
Document Reference: 30N-25-0074-TRP-125413-0			
Prepared For: Moto Projects Level 10 99 Gawler Place Adelaide, South Australia, 5000, Australia Contact: Aidan Forte Tel: (08) 7231 5500	Prepared By: Vipac Engineers and Scientists Limited 279 Normanby Rd, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207 Australia Tel: +61 3 9647 9700		
Author: Alexis Dalamagas 29 May 2026	 Project Engineer		
Reviewer: Peyman Mayeli 29 May 2026	 Senior Engineer		
Issued By: Mark Leondaraki 29 May 2026	 Wind Team Coordinator		
Revision History:			
Rev. #	Comments / Details of change(s) made	Date	Revised by:
Rev. 00	Original issue	29 May 2026	

NOTE: This report has been prepared solely for the benefit of the client to whom this report is addressed for use herein ("Client") unless otherwise agreed in writing by Vipac Engineers and Scientists Limited ACN 005 453 627 ("Vipac"). Neither the whole of this report or any part of it may be published, duplicated or circulated without the prior written approval of Vipac except as required by law. Vipac does not assume any responsibility or liability for any losses suffered as a result of the publication, duplication or circulation of this report and excludes all liability whatsoever to any third party who may use or rely on the whole, or any part of this report.

Vipac has prepared this report using all reasonable care, skill and due diligence within the time period, budget and resources allocated to Vipac as agreed with the Client. Vipac excludes all liability to the Client whatsoever, whether in whole or in part, for the Client's use or reliance on the report other than for the purposes set out in the report, or any matters outside the agreed scope of the work.

For the purposes of preparing this report, reliance has been placed upon the material, representations, information and instructions provided to Vipac unless otherwise stated in the report. Originals of documents provided have not been required and no audit or examination of the validity of the documentation, representations, information or instructions provided has been undertaken except to the extent otherwise stated in this report. Information and findings contained in this report are based on Vipac's interpretation of data collected.

This document contains commercial, conceptual, engineering and other information that is proprietary to Vipac. The inclusion of this information in the report does not grant the Client any license to use the information without Vipac's prior written permission.

Executive Summary

Moto Projects commissioned Vipac Engineers and Scientists Ltd to prepare a statement of wind effects for the ground level areas adjacent to the proposed development at **193-195 North Terrace, Adelaide**. This appraisal is based on Vipac's experience as a wind-engineering consultancy.

Drawings of the proposed development were provided by **Moto Projects** in **April 2026**.

The findings of this study can be summarized as follows.

With proposed design:

- Wind conditions in the ground level footpath areas and access ways would be expected to be within the **walking** comfort criterion.
- The main entrances would be expected to be within the **standing** comfort criterion.
- The communal terrace would be expected to be within the recommended **standing** comfort criterion.
- All areas are expected to fulfil the **Safety** criterion.

As a general statement, educating occupants about wind conditions at open terrace/balcony areas during high-wind events and fixing loose, lightweight furniture on the terrace is highly recommended.

The assessments provided in this report have been made based on experience of similar situations in Adelaide and around the world. As with any opinion, it is possible that an assessment of wind effects based on experience and without experimental validation may not account for all complex flow scenarios in the vicinity.

It should be noted that this desktop assessment is based on experience only and no wind tunnel or CFD testing has been conducted on the proposed design. Therefore, Vipac recommends a wind tunnel Or CFD simulation testing to be conducted to quantify the conclusions of this report for the development of the appropriate wind mitigation measures.

Table of Contents

1	Introduction	5
2	Analysis Approach	7
2.1	Site Exposure	8
2.2	Regional Wind Climate.....	9
2.3	Building Geometry and Orientation	10
2.4	Flow interactions with Adjacent Developments.....	11
2.5	Assessment Criteria	12
2.5.1	Use of Adjacent Pedestrian Occupied Areas & Recommended Comfort Criteria.....	13
2.5.2	Terrace Recommended Criterion Discussion	13
3	Pedestrian Level Wind Effects.....	15
3.1	Discussion & Recommendations	15
4	Conclusions	16
	Appendix A Environmental Wind Effects	17
	Appendix B References	18
	Appendix C Drawings List.....	19

1 Introduction

Vipac Engineers and Scientists has been commissioned by **Moto Projects** to carry out an appraisal of the pedestrian wind effects at the ground level of the proposed development at **193-195 North Terrace, Adelaide**.

Strong winds in pedestrian areas are frequently encountered in central business districts of cities around the world; including Sydney, Melbourne and Brisbane. Wind characteristics such as the mean speed, turbulence and ambient temperature determine the extent of disturbance to users of pedestrian areas. These disturbances can cause both comfort and safety problems and require careful consideration to mitigate successfully.

The proposed development is a 39-storey mixed-use building with a roof height of 133.35 m from North Terrace. The site is bounded by North Terrace to the north, Gawler Place to the West, Angels Lane to the south and existing development to the east. A satellite image of the proposed development site and the western elevation of the building are shown in Figure 1 and Figure 2, respectively.

This report details the opinion of Vipac as an experienced wind engineering consultancy regarding the wind effects in ground level footpath areas adjacent to the development as proposed. No wind tunnel testing has been carried out for this development at this stage. Vipac has carried out wind tunnel studies on a large number of developments of similar shape and having similar exposure to that of the proposed development. These serve as a valid reference for the prediction of wind effects. Empirical data for typical buildings in boundary layer flows has also been used to estimate the likely wind conditions on the ground level areas of the proposed development [2] & [3].

Drawings of the proposed development were supplied to Vipac by **Moto Projects** in **April 2026**. A list of drawings supplied is provided in Appendix C of this report.



Figure 1: Aerial view of the proposed development site.




Figure 2: Western Elevation of the proposed development.

2 Analysis Approach

In assessing whether a proposed development is likely to generate adverse wind conditions in ground level footpath areas, Vipac has considered the following five main points:

- The exposure of the proposed development to wind;
- The regional wind climate;
- The geometry and orientation of the proposed development;
- The interaction of flows with adjacent developments; and
- The assessment criteria determined by the intended use of the areas affected by wind flows generated or augmented by the proposed development.

The pedestrian wind comfort at specific locations of ground level footpath areas may be assessed by predicting the gust and mean wind speeds with a probability of 0.1% and 20% expected at that location. The location may be deemed generally acceptable for its intended use while gust and mean wind speeds are within the threshold values noted in Section 2.5. Where Vipac predicts that a location would not meet its appropriate comfort criterion, the use of wind control devices and/or local building geometry modifications to achieve the desired comfort rating may be recommended. For complex flow scenarios or where predicted flow conditions are well in excess of the recommended criteria, Vipac recommends scale model wind tunnel testing to determine the type and scope of the wind control measures required to achieve acceptable wind conditions.

2.1 Site Exposure

The proposed development is located on a relatively flat terrain. The proposed development is located in Adelaide CBD and is immediately surrounded by high rise developments, high density suburban areas and parklands further out. A satellite image showing these site surroundings is shown in Figure 3.

Considering the immediate surroundings and terrain, for the purposes of this study, the site of the proposed development is assumed to be within Terrain Category 3 for all wind directions (Figure 3).




Figure 3: Assumed terrain categories for wind speed estimation.

2.2 Regional Wind Climate

The mean and gust wind speeds have been recorded in the Adelaide area (WS_023034) for over 30 years. This data has been analysed and the directional probability distribution of wind speeds has been determined. The directional distribution of hourly mean wind speed at the gradient height, with a probability of occurring once per year (i.e. 1-year return period) and 5% of time exceeded are shown in Figure 4. The wind data at this free stream height are common to all Adelaide city sites and may be used as a reference to assess ground level wind conditions at the site. Figure 4 indicates that the stronger winds can be expected from the south-westerly, westerly, and northerly directions.




Figure 4: Directional Distribution of Annual Return Period Maximum Mean Hourly Wind Velocities (m/s) at gradient height of 500m in Adelaide.

2.3 Building Geometry and Orientation

The proposed development is a 39-storey mixed-use building. The overall plan-form dimensions are approximately 18.7 m x 30.5 m as shown in Figure 5. The main entrances are located on North Terrace and Gawler Place. The development incorporates tower setbacks from all surrounding street boundaries.




Figure 5: Ground floor plans with the plan-form dimensions overlaid.

2.4 Flow interactions with Adjacent Developments

The immediately adjacent developments are shown in Figure 6. At ground level, the site is exposed to direct winds from the northerly directions along North Terrace, channelling down Gawler Place. The building is oriented such that adverse impacts from corner acceleration of westerly winds is expected at ground level. The development is taller than the surrounding buildings and so is exposed to winds from all directions at the upper levels.




Figure 6: Immediately adjacent surroundings and their approximate number of floors (F).

2.5 Assessment Criteria

With some consensus of international opinion, pedestrian wind comfort is rated according to the suitability of certain activities at a site in relation to the expected annual peak 3-second gust velocity at that location for each wind direction. Each of the major areas around the site are characterised by the annual maximum gust wind speeds. Most patrons would consider a site generally unacceptable for its intended use if it were probable that during one annual wind event, a peak 3-second gust occurs which exceeds the established comfort threshold velocity (shown in Table 2.1).

If that threshold is exceeded once per year, then it is also likely that during moderate winds, noticeably unpleasant wind conditions would result, and the windiness of the location would be voted as unacceptable.

Table 2.1: Recommended Wind Comfort and Safety Gust Criteria

Annual Maximum Gust Speed	Result on Perceived Pedestrian Comfort
>23m/s	Unsafe (frail pedestrians knocked over)
<20m/s	Acceptable for fast walking (waterfront or particular walking areas)
<16m/s	Acceptable for Walking (steady steps for most pedestrians)
<13m/s	Acceptable for Standing (vehicle drop off, queuing)
<10m/s	Acceptable for Sitting (outdoor cafés, pool areas, gardens)

In a similar manner, a set of hourly mean velocity criteria (see Table 2.2) with a 0.1% probability of occurrence are also applicable to ground level areas in and adjacent to the proposed development. An area should be within both the relevant mean and gust limits in order to satisfy the particular human comfort and safety criteria in question.

Table 2.2: Recommended Wind Comfort and Safety Mean Criteria

Mean Speed in 0.1% of Time	Result on Perceived Pedestrian Comfort
>15m/s	Unsafe (frail pedestrians knocked over)
<13m/s	Acceptable for fast walking (waterfront or particular walking areas)
<10m/s	Acceptable for Walking (steady steps for most pedestrians)
<7m/s	Acceptable for Standing (vehicle drop off, queuing)
<5m/s	Acceptable for Sitting (outdoor cafés, pool areas, gardens)

2.5.1 Use of Adjacent Pedestrian Occupied Areas & Recommended Comfort Criteria

The consideration of the (intended) function of the environment heavily influences the appropriateness of the recommended wind comfort criteria. For example, people frequenting locations such as parks are will likely tolerate a windier environment when compared to people dining at an outdoor café.

This is partly due to the pedestrian's judgement in clothing and predetermined expectation of the wind environment and partly due to the sensitivity of their activities to wind. For example, patrons at outdoor dining areas are highly sensitivity to wind due to the stationary nature of the activity; whereas pedestrians on the public footpaths may maintain a level of comfort under otherwise uncomfortable conditions by partaking in general activities performed on the footpath such as walking.

The following table lists the specific areas adjacent to the proposed development and the corresponding recommended criteria.


Table 3: Recommended application of criteria

Area	Specific location	Recommended Criteria
Public Footpaths, Access ways	Along North Terrace, Gawler Place and Angels Lane (Figure 7)	Walking
Building Entrances	Main Building Entrances Along Gawler Place, North Terrace and Angels Lane (Figure 7)	Standing
Outdoor Communal Areas	Communal terrace on (Level 9)	Standing

2.5.2 Terrace Recommended Criterion Discussion

There is a communal terrace located on level 9 of the development. Vipac recommends as a minimum that terrace areas meet the criterion for standing since:

- these areas are not public spaces;
- the use of these areas is optional, and only intended to be used on fair weather days with calm winds;
- residents utilising the communal terraces can chose to retreat indoors during uncomfortable wind conditions, while a pedestrian or person using a public area may not have this option.
- many similar developments in Adelaide and other Australian capital cities experience wind conditions on balconies and elevated deck areas in the vicinity of the criterion for walking.



Recommended to fulfil Walking Recommended to fulfil Standing

Figure 7: Ground floor with recommended wind criteria overlaid.



Recommended to fulfil Standing

Figure 8: Level 9 Common Terrace with recommended wind criteria overlaid.

3 Pedestrian Level Wind Effects

3.1 Discussion & Recommendations

The proposed design has several features that are expected to be beneficial to the pedestrian wind environment. This is inclusive but not limited to the following:

- Residential entrances setback;
- Full length canopy along north and west façade of ground floor;
- 1.5m balustrade on communal terrace; and
- Planter boxes along perimeter of communal terrace.

Due to the orientation of the site, the proposed development is particularly exposed to adverse westerly winds. High wind levels are expected to channel along North Terrace at ground level. Additionally, considering the height of the development above surroundings, downwash and corner acceleration effects at the north-western corner is expected. The development incorporates setbacks on the north, south and western façade of the ground level as well as a 2m canopy along the northern and western façade, 4.2m above the ground. These features will help mitigate the impacts of downwash, as such, the footpaths and access ways are expected to be within walking criterion.

The main entrance along Gawler Place is setback from the boundaries. Entrances on North Terrace and Angels Lane are setback from the surrounding developments as well as the proposed building boundaries. Such that all entrances are expected to within the recommended standing comfort criterion.

The bifold doors along North Terrace and Gawler Place create a direct interface between the internal and external environments. When fully open, there is potential for adverse wind conditions to propagate into the interior of the building. It is therefore recommended that the doors only be open on fair weather days.

The communal terrace on level 9 is expected to have windspeeds within the standing comfort criterion due to the proposed solid balustrades (1.5m high) and planter boxes along open areas.

The wind conditions are assessed to fulfil safety criterion.

It should be noted that this study is based on experience only and has not utilised any CFD simulation or experimental data for the analysis.

4 Conclusions

An appraisal of the likely wind conditions at the pedestrian ground level and communal terrace of the proposed development at **193-195 North Terrace, Adelaide** has been made.

Vipac has carefully considered the form and exposure of the proposed development, nominated criteria for various public areas according to their function and referred to past experience to produce our opinion of likely wind conditions.

The findings of this study can be summarised as follows.

With proposed design:

- Wind conditions in the ground level footpath areas and access ways would be expected to be within the **walking** comfort criterion.
- The main entrances would be expected to be within the **standing** comfort criterion.
- The communal terrace would be expected to be within the recommended **standing** comfort criterion.
- All areas are expected to fulfil the **Safety** criterion.

As a general statement, educating occupants about wind conditions at open terrace/balcony areas during high-wind events and fixing loose, lightweight furniture on the terrace is highly recommended.

The assessments provided in this report have been made based on experience of similar situations in Adelaide and around the world. As with any opinion, it is possible that an assessment of wind effects based on experience and without experimental validation may not account for all complex flow scenarios in the vicinity.

It should be noted that this desktop assessment is based on experience only and no wind tunnel or CFD testing has been conducted on the proposed design. Therefore, Vipac recommends a wind tunnel Or CFD simulation testing to be conducted to quantify the conclusions of this report for the development of the appropriate wind mitigation measures.

This Report has been Prepared

For

Moto Projects

By

VIPAC ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS PTY LTD.

Appendix A Environmental Wind Effects

Atmospheric Boundary Layer

As wind flows over the earth it encounters various roughness elements and terrain such as water, forests, houses and buildings. To varying degrees, these elements reduce the mean wind speed at low elevations and increase air turbulence. The wind above these obstructions travels with unattenuated velocity, driven by atmospheric pressure gradients. The resultant increase in wind speed with height above ground is known as a wind velocity profile. When this wind profile encounters a tall building, some of the fast-moving wind at upper elevations is diverted down to ground level resulting in local adverse wind effects.

The terminology used to describe the wind flow patterns around the proposed development is based on the aerodynamic mechanism, direction and nature of the wind flow.



Downwash – refers to a flow of air down the exposed face of a tower. A tall tower can deflect a fast-moving wind at higher elevations downwards.

Corner Accelerations – when wind flows around the corner of a building it tends to accelerate in a similar manner to airflow over the top of an aeroplane wing.

Flow separation – when wind flowing along a surface suddenly detaches from that surface and the resultant energy dissipation produces increased turbulence in the flow. Flow separation at a building corner or at a solid screen can result in gusty conditions.

Flow channelling – the well-known “street canyon” effect occurs when a large volume of air is funnelled through a constricted pathway. To maintain flow continuity the wind must speed up as it passes through the constriction. Examples of this might occur between two towers, in a narrowing street or under a bridge.

Direct Exposure – a location with little upstream shielding for a wind direction of interest. The location will be exposed to the unabated mean wind and gust velocity. Piers and open water frontage may have such exposure.



Appendix B References

- [1] *Structural Design Actions, Part 2: Wind Actions*, Australian/New Zealand Standard 1170.2:2011
- [2] *Wind Effects on Structures* E. Simiu, R Scanlan, Publisher: Wiley-Interscience
- [3] *Architectural Aerodynamics* R. Aynsley, W. Melbourne, B. Vickery, Publisher: Applied Science Publishers
- [4] *The Aerodynamic Characteristics of Windbreaks, Resulting in Empirical Design Rules* J. Gandemer, Publisher: *Journal of Wind Engineering and Industrial Aerodynamics*
- [6] *Wind Protection by Model Fences in a simulated Atmospheric Boundary Layer* J.K. Rain, D.C. Stevenson, Publisher: *Journal of Industrial Aerodynamics*, 2
- [7] *Criteria for Environmental Wind Conditions* W.H Melbourne, Publisher: *Journal of Wind Engineering and Industrial Aerodynamics*
- [8] *Wind Design Guide* J. Bennett Publisher: *BBSC 433 – Architectural Aerodynamics*
- [9] *Central City Built Form Review: Wind Assessments*, Global Wind Technology Services
- [10] *Wind Guidelines for Planning Applicants* H. Fricke Publisher: *Moonee Valley City Council*



Appendix C Drawings List

Drawings Received: **April 14th, 2026**

20260408_195 Nth Tce - Draft DA Package.pdf